

Dissertation Defense Competency Review

Student: _____ Date of Defense Meeting: _____

Title of Project: _____

Pass: ____ Yes ____ No ____ Yes with Revisions/Comments

____ Clear Statement of Project Topic

____ Adequate Summary of Relevant Literature (Criteria: thorough, current, professional sources, demonstrates value/pertinence of proposal, reflects critical evaluation of area)

____ Clear formulation of task project will accomplish.

____ Selection of appropriate instruments

____ Appropriate Methodology (Criteria: Feasible, Sufficiently detailed how it was carried out, Accomplished task advanced by the project)

____ Importance of project commensurate with doctoral level

____ Spiritual/integration issues addressed as appropriate.

____ Competent Implementation of Project (Criteria: Design implemented as proposed, Deviations from design adequately justified and managed, Student adequately records implementation)

____ Competent Analysis Conducted (Criteria: Appropriate analysis to answer research questions, Appropriate post hoc analyses conducted as necessary, Valid statistical inferences drawn from data)

____ Adequate discussion/integration of results (Criteria: Relevant substantive implications of study clearly presented, Conclusions synthesized with relevant literature, Scientific data and theological insights appropriately integrated)

____ Competent Presentation/Management of Defense (Criteria: Student gave cogent oral presentation, Student responded to committee questions/concerns in a manner commensurate with doctoral standing, Negative criticisms appropriately handled)

Endorsements

Date if paper

Committee Chairperson Signature: _____

Committee Member Signature: _____

Committee Member Signature: _____

Program Director Signature: _____

Comments: